

| | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 10/771,698 | Applicant(s) LEONARD ET AL. | |
| | Examiner ERIC C. WAI | Art Unit 2195 | |

All Participants:

(1) ERIC C. WAI.

(2) Aly Z. Dossa (Reg No. 63,372).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 May 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103a

Claims discussed:

1,4,5,8,9,12,13,16,17,20,21,24

Prior art documents discussed:

McCarthy et al. (US Pat 7,225,223)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner advised Applicant to amend claim 1 to include 8 and any intervening claims in light of the McCarthy reference. Similar amendments were proposed for claims 9 and 17. Additional amendments were proposed to aid the clarity of the claims. Applicant authorized amendments to be made via Examiner's Amendment to place the application in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Meng-Ai An/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2195

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)